

Introduced by Senator Machado
(Coauthor: Assembly Member Wolk)

January 29, 2003

An act to amend Section 384 of the Streets and Highways Code, relating to state highways, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 104, as introduced, Machado. State Route 384.

Existing law requires the California Transportation Commission to relinquish to any city or county any portion of any state highway within the city or county that has been deleted from the state highway system by legislative enactment. Unless otherwise specified, a relinquishment becomes effective upon the first day of the next calendar or fiscal year, whichever first occurs after the effective date of the legislative enactment.

This bill would authorize the commission to relinquish to the City of West Sacramento the portion of Route 84 within the city, upon the terms and conditions the commission finds to be in the best interests of the state. The bill would specify that the relinquishment becomes effective on the day immediately following the day on which the relinquishment is recorded by the county recorder.

The bill would declare it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.

Vote: $\frac{2}{3}$. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 384 of the Streets and Highways Code
 2 is amended to read:
 3 384. (a) Route 84 is from:
 4 ~~(a)~~
 5 (1) Route 1 near San Gregorio to Route 101 at Woodside Road
 6 in Redwood City.
 7 ~~(b)~~
 8 (2) Route 101 at Marsh Road in Menlo Park to Route 880.
 9 ~~(c)~~
 10 (3) Route 880 to Route 238.
 11 ~~(d)~~
 12 (4) Route 238 to Route 680 near Scotts Corners via the vicinity
 13 of Sunol.
 14 ~~(e)~~
 15 (5) Route 680 near Scotts Corners to Route 580 in Livermore.
 16 ~~(f)~~
 17 (6) Route 580 in Livermore to Route 4 near Brentwood.
 18 ~~(g)~~
 19 (7) Route 12 at Rio Vista to Route 80 near Bryte via Ryer
 20 Island.
 21 (b) *The commission may relinquish the portion of Route 84*
 22 *within the City of West Sacramento to that city upon terms and*
 23 *conditions that the commission finds to be in the best interests of*
 24 *the state.*
 25 (c) *The relinquishment shall become effective the day*
 26 *immediately following the day the county recorder records the*
 27 *relinquishment resolution containing the commission's approval*
 28 *of the terms and conditions of the relinquishment.*
 29 (d) *On and after the effective date of the relinquishment, all of*
 30 *the following shall occur:*
 31 (1) *The relinquished portion shall cease to be a state highway.*
 32 (2) *The relinquished portion may not be considered for future*
 33 *adoption under Section 81.*
 34 (3) *For those portions of Route 84 that are relinquished, the*
 35 *City of West Sacramento shall agree to maintain signs within its*
 36 *jurisdiction directing motorists to the continuation of Route 84 as*
 37 *a condition of the relinquishment.*



1 (4) *Upon relinquishment of a portion of Route 84 to the City of*
2 *West Sacramento, the last segment of Route 84 shall be defined as*
3 *Route 12 at Rio Vista to the southerly city limit of the City of West*
4 *Sacramento.*

5 SEC. 2. This act is an urgency statute necessary for the
6 immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety
7 within the meaning of Article IV of the Constitution and shall go
8 into immediate effect. The facts constituting the necessity are:

9 The economy of the City of West Sacramento and the quality of
10 life of its citizens will be adversely affected if important
11 transportation projects contingent on the relinquishment of State
12 Highway Route 84 are delayed.

